Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068	
Filing Date		2003-08-22	
First Named Inventor Argen		ita, et al.	
Art Unit		3733	
Examiner Name PHILO		OGENE, PEDRO	
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1	

					U.S.I	PATENTS			Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue [Date	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	Columns,Lines where nt Passages or Relev s Appear	
	1									
If you wis	h to a	l dd additional U.S. Patei	nt citatio	n inform	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		Add	
			U.S.P	ATENT	APPLIC	CATION PUBL	LICATIONS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publica Date	tion	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	Columns,Lines where nt Passages or Relev s Appear	
	1									
If you wis	h to a	dd additional U.S. Publi		,		,		d button		
				FOREIG	SN PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²		Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patente Applicant of cited Document	e or v	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	т.
	1									
If you wis	h to a	dd additional Foreign P	atent Do	cument	citation	information pl	lease click the Add	button	Add	
			NON	I-PATE	NT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the a (book, magazine, jour publisher, city and/or	nal, seri	al, symp	osium,	catalog, etc), o				Τs

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068		
Filing Date		2003-08-22		
First Named Inventor Arg		rgenta, et al.		
Art Unit		3733		
Examiner Name PHILO		OGENE, PEDRO		
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

ľ	NOT V. Bulcony, Expert report of microact demans, with Exhausts, (January 1, 2003). 53-22	ш
2	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Mark Charker, with Exhibits, (January 7, 2005). BS-23	
3	Exhibits for KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Mark Charleer, M.D., (December 19, 2005). BS-24	
4	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Harriet Hopf, with Exhibits, (November 18, 2005). BS-25	
5	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended Expert Report of Harnet W. Hopf, M.D. on New Claims, with Exhibits, (February 10, 2006). BS-26	
6	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Harnet W. Hopf, M.D. Responsive to Plaintiff's Asserted Claims for Relief, with Exhibits, (February 10, 2006). BS-27	
7	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Hamlet W. Hopf, M.D. with Exhibits, (Anne 23, 2006). BS-28	
8	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Harriet W. Hopf, M.D. with Exhibits, (March 11, 2006). BS-32	
9	KCI v. BlueSky, Report of Professor Thomas K. Hunt, M.D., pp. 1-14, (January 13, 2005). BS-29	
10	KCI v. BlueSky, Report of Katherine F. Jeter, CV and list of exhibits, (November 28, 2004), BS-11	
11	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Michael O'Neil, dated (February 22, 2006). BS-31	

	Application Number		10647068		
	Filing Date		2003-08-22		
	Art Unit Examiner Name PHILO		ta, et al.		
			3733		
			OGENE, PEDRO		
			0101-P02977US1		

12	KCI v. BlueSky, Attachments to Expert Report of Michael A. O'Neil. BS-34	
13	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Vincent Pizzooni, with Exhibits, (December 31, 2005). BS-35	
14	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended Expert Report of Vincent B. Pizzooni, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (February 6, 2006). BS-36	
15	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert report of Vincent B. Pizzicone, Ph.D. responsive to plaintiff's asserted claims for relief, with Exhibits, (February 14, 2006). BS-37	
16	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended expert report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D. responsive to plantiff's asserted claims for relef, with Exhibits, (February 16, 2006). BS-38	
17	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Vincent B. Puzziconii, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (March 12, 2006). BS-39	
18	KCl v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D. with Exhibits, (June 23, 2006). BS-40	
19	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental expert report of Lydia Razzan Stone, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (March 6, 2006). BS-41	
20	KCl v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Lydia Razzan Stone, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (December 29, 2005). BS-42	
21	KCI v, BlueSky, Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta in Response to Report of Katherine Jeter, with Exhibits, (January 5, 2006) BS-43	
22	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta to Reports of Hopf, O'Nell, Pizzooni & Chariker, (February 27, 2006). BS-44	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

	Application Number		10647068
	Filing Date		2003-08-22
	Art Unit Examiner Name PHILO		ta, et al.
			3733
			OGENE, PEDRO
			0101-P02977US1

23	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta in Response to James Spahn, Mark Chariker & Thomas Hunt. BS-46	
24	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta, M.D. to Expert Supplemental Reports of Hopf and Pozoonal, with Exhibits, (July 8, 2006). 8S-47	
25	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Valery Gilevich, M.D. to Expert Report of Lydia Razzan Stone, Ph.D. 8S-48	
26	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Valery Glievich, with Exhibits, (Exhibit P-47) (December 14, 2004). BS-49	
27	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal expert report of John T. Gookasian, with Exhibits, (February 22, 2006). BS-50	
28	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of John T. Gookasian, with Exhibits, (March 10, 2006). BS-51	
29	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Reports of James Spahn and Mark Chanker, with Exhibits, (January 31, 2005). BS-53	
30	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Report of Katherine Jeter, with Exhibits, (January 7, 2005). BS-54	
31	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Hopf, Chariker, Przzconi, and O'Nel Regarding Patent Variatily, with Exhibits, (Februsry 27, 2006). BS-56	
32	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebultal expert report of Wilson C. Hayes, Ph.D. in response to the reports of Harnet Hopf and Vincent Pozoconi regarding plaintiffs' asserted claims for relief, with exhibits, (March 7, 2006). BS-57	
33	KCl v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Jeffery Niezgoda in Response to Katherine Jeter (January 7, 2005). BS-58	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068	
Filing Date		2003-08-22	
First Named Inventor	Argen	ta, et al.	
Art Unit		3733	
Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1	

34	KCI v BlueSky, Expert Report of Jeffery Niezgoda in Response to James Spahn, Thomas Hunt, & Mark Chariker, (January 31, 2005). BS-59	9						
35	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplement to Expert Report of Jeffery Nezgoda, with Exhibits, (January 4, 2006). BS-60]						
36	KCI v. BueSky, Expert Report of Dennis P. Orgill, M.D., Ph.D., with Exhibits, (February 20, 2006). BS-61]						
37	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebutal Expert Report of Dennis Orgill to Amended Expert Reports of Harriet Hopf and Vincent Pizzoceni, with Exhibits, (March 13, 2006). 88-62	_						
38	KCI v. BrueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Dennis P. Orgill, M.D., Ph.D., with Exhibits, (July 6, 2006). BS-63	_						
If you wish to	add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add	٦						
	FYAMINER SIGNATURE	EYAMINED SIGNATURE						

Examiner Signature Date Considered

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04, 2 Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 3 For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 5 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 GFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068		
Filing Date		2003-08-22		
First Named Inventor	Argen	Argenta, et al.		
Art Unit		3733		
Examiner Name	PHILO	OGENE, PEDRO		
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37	7 CFR 1.9	7 and 1.98	to make the	appropriate	selection(s)
---------------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	------------	----

That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patient office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. Sea 97.0F4.137(e)(1).

OR

That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any involved designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(s).

- See attached certification statement.
- Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Niels Haurr/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-04-23
Name/Print	Niels Haun	Registration Number	48 488

This collection of information is required by 3T CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file fand by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by \$5 U.S. C. 12.0 and 3T CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application from the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case: Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. operatment of Commence, P.O. 8bx 1449, Alexandriv, V.S. 2311-1450, D.O. NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. 8bx 1459, Alexandriva, V.S. 2311-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the stacked form related to a petient application or patient. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) familishing of the information solicided is civulating; and (3) the principal purpuse for which the information is used by the U.S. Patient and Trademan Coffice is to process and/or cosmisting your submission related to a patient agricultant or patient. If you do not furnish the requested process and/or cosmisting your submission related to a patient agricultant or patient. If you do not furnish the requested process and the process of the process and the process of the pro

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these record s.
 - A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiation.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record perfains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(m).
 - A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records
 may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant
 to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
 - 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or hisher designed, uturing an insection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 4d U.S.C. 2904 and 2905. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA requisions governing inseption of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the
 application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 12(2) to rissuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be
 disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filled in application
 which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a
 published application, an application open to public inspections or as issued patent.
 - A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.